HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 612 of 2007

Petitioners

1) Sunil Kumar Patel, S/o. Shri Shuam Sumder Patel aged about 40 years, Assistant Teacher Posted at Govt. Higher Secondatry School Nandeli, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

2) Yogesh Patel, S/o. Shri Jawahar Patel, aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, Posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School Nandeli, Dist. Raigarh, CG..

3) Raman Lal Yadav S/o. Shri GhhaduRam Yadav, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, Posted at Govt. Middle School Thakurdiya, Block Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

4) Nohar Sai Gavel S/o. Shri Jagdish Prasad Gavel aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, Posted at Primary School Turekela Block – Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

5) Chandrashekhar Patel S/o. Shri Jagat Ram Patel, aged about 39 years, Assistant Teacher posted at Govt. Primary School, Kulba, Tahsil & Dist. Raigarh, CG.

6) Amirt Lal Sahu, S/o. Shri Ratiram Sahu, aged about 39 years, posted as Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary School Bhadrapali, Tahsil & Dist. Raigarh, CG.

Versus

Respondents

1) State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Education Department, D.KS. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.

2) Director Public Instruction Department, Raipur, CG.

3) District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh, CG.

4) Dy. Director Education Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in nature of certiorari, mandamus, prohibition and other suitable writ or writs, direction or directions, order or orders).

(SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri)

Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioners.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 23rd April 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein as to whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale with effect from the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in Writ Petition (S) No. 7002 of 2006 (**Yogeshwar Prasad Patanwar Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others**), which was disposed of vide order dated 15-12-2006.

3. In view of the above stated submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Raju